



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,897	12/10/2003	Mike Mattlage	IMPROVED FISHING HOOK (CI)	4621
7590 David G. Henry 900 Washington Ave. P.O. Box 1470 Waco, TX 76703-1470			EXAMINER ARK, DARREN W	
			ART UNIT 3643	PAPER NUMBER

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/733,897	Applicant(s) MATTLAGE ET AL.	
	Examiner Darren W. Ark	Art Unit 3643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 7 and 8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/20/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 4, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Furuta 4,953,321.

Furuta discloses a fishing hook having an outer surface essentially of titanium or an alloy of titanium (single film layer or multiple film layers of titanium carbide, titanium nitride, Ti(C,N)) and an inner layer of stainless steel (base member 11), wherein said outer surface is deposited onto the inner layer (using chemical vapor deposition; for a product by process claim, the prior art structure merely has to be equivalent to those of the desired invention, the method of forming the desired invention is not given weight in the apparatus claim where the prior art device meets the structural limitations recited).

Art Unit: 3643

In regard to claims 7 and 8, Furuta discloses the surface layer comprising TiN, wherein the titanium alloy has a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface and the outer surface layer is richer in nitrogen than the inner surface layer (since titanium alloy is deposited onto the hook surface, titanium concentration at that inner surface increases while free floating nitrogen remains in the atmosphere therefore providing higher nitrogen concentration at the outer surface).

4. Claims 4, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Furuta 4,928,423.

Furuta discloses a fishing hook having an outer surface essentially of titanium or an alloy of titanium (single film layer or multiple film layers of titanium carbide, titanium nitride, Ti(C,N)) and an inner layer of stainless steel (base member 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuta 4,953,321 in view of Bergman et al. 4,448,799.

Alternatively, Furuta discloses the surface layer comprises of a titanium alloy (TiN), but does not disclose the titanium alloy having a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface. Bergman et al. discloses a physical vapor deposition process for coating titanium on a substrate using reactive gases to assist in

Art Unit: 3643

the deposition. Examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the Bergman et al. discloses that the substrate (22) has a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface due to the course of reaction of the titanium cathode (15), wherein as the deposition process proceeds the cathode (15) will decrease in size and thus have less surface area from which the titanium can occur in the vapor state. In other words, as the surface area of the cathode becomes smaller as time goes by, the area available for reaction is reduced and therefore the amount of titanium that can reach the vapor state is not as high as during an earlier time wherein the cathode has a larger surface area to provide more titanium (basically the quantity of nitrogen remains the same during the entire process while in comparison the amount of titanium is decreasing since it is being deposited on the substrate). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hook of Furuta such that the titanium alloy has a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface in view of Bergman et al. in order to assuredly coat the surface closest to the hook with the strongest and most durable coating so as to provide wear resistance and corrosion resistance.

7. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furuta 4,928,423 in view of Bergman et al. 4,448,799.

Alternatively, Furuta discloses the surface layer comprises of a titanium alloy (TiN), but does not disclose the titanium alloy having a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface. Bergman et al. discloses a physical vapor deposition process for coating titanium on a substrate using reactive gases to assist in

Art Unit: 3643

the deposition. Examiner takes the position that it is inherent that the Bergman et al. discloses that the substrate (22) has a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface due to the course of reaction of the titanium cathode (15), wherein as the deposition process proceeds the cathode (15) will decrease in size and thus have less surface area from which the titanium can occur in the vapor state. In other words, as the surface area of the cathode becomes smaller as time goes by, the area available for reaction is reduced and therefore the amount of titanium that can reach the vapor state is not as high as during an earlier time wherein the cathode has a larger surface area to provide more titanium (basically the quantity of nitrogen remains the same during the entire process while in comparison the amount of titanium is decreasing since it is being deposited on the substrate). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hook of Furuta such that the titanium alloy has a richer portion of titanium at the inner surface than at the outer surface in view of Bergman et al. in order to assuredly coat the surface closest to the hook with the strongest and most durable coating so as to provide wear resistance and corrosion resistance.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arnold 5,956,845 discloses method of repairing a turbine engine airfoil part using a high-density coating process, such as HVOF thermal spray, wherein products such as pen tips and fishing hooks may be treated in accordance with the present invention so as to benefit from long lasting durability.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darren W. Ark whose telephone number is (571) 272-6885. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Darren W. Ark
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

DWA